SEC Climate Rule: Quick Compare



		SEC Clim	nate Rules¹	California			Europe		
				SB 253 ² SB 261 ³					
		Proposed Rules (2022)	Final Rules (2024)		Using TCFD	Using IFRS	CSRD		
			Wording in gray italics denotes the relevant section of the final rules and the pages of SEC discussion and analysis ⁴		a risk assessment stat	or IFRS standards. For the TCFD and climate-			
		Context							
1.	Covered organizations	Accelerated Filers (LAFs); /	e SEC. Key categories: Large Accelerated Filers (AFs) and tities with exemptions ⁵	>\$1bn revenue doing business in California >\$500m revenue doing business in California		EU and non- EU entities exceeding regulatory thresholds			
2.	# of Covered Entities	<10,	,000	>5,000 >10,000 (Figures for California)		>50,000			
3.	Scope	Clir	mate	GHG emissions Climate ⁶ Climate (ESG+) ⁷		ESG+			
4.	Initial Filing Year	LAF compliance dates (AFs and others later) General - FY 2023 (2024) Scope 3 - FY 2024 (2025)	LAF compliance dates (AFs and others later) General – FY 2025 (2026) Expenditures ⁸ – FY 2026 Emissions – FY 2026	FY 2026 (subject to change per Governor Newsom's signing statement)			2024		
		pp. 586	pp. 589						
5.	Estimated Compliance Costs	\$430k - \$550k ⁹	\$197k - \$739k ¹⁰ pp. 725-782	Estimates range widely. SEC Final Rule refers to indicative TCFD alignment costs ranging from \$10k to >\$200k (pp 726), and costs to produce first TCFD, SASB and GRI reports of >600k (pp. 731). Compliance costs >\$1m are referenced in Complaint (see below) in the context of Scope 3 emissions			Cost (% / revenue Admin: 0.004% - 0.008% Audit: 0.013% - 0.026% (limited		
			(in particular pp. 739+)				assurance)		
6.	Pending Litigation vs. Standard	Complaint (10 States) filed.	. ¹¹ Environmentalist suit likely	Complaint issued	To be confirmed				
			Specific Topics	S					
7.	Definition of Climate-Related Risks and Opportunities	Similar to TCFD	TCFD inspired; but no value chain references ¹³ §1500; §1502(a); pp.73 - 99	×		Similar to TCFD	Similar to TCFD		
8.	Time Horizons	Short, medium and long- term (SML) time horizons defined by registrant	Short = ≤ 12 months Long = > 12 months (Medium = not used)¹⁴	×	SML defined by reporter	SML defined by reporter	Short = current yea Medium = >1 to < 5 y Long = > 5 yrs		
		§1502(a); pp. 100 - 105							
9.	Materiality Determination	Single materiality §1502(a); pp. 100 - 105		×	Single materiality	Single materiality	Double materiality ¹⁶		
	Transition Plans	If adopted; extensive detail required	if adopted; very limited	×	limited detail	detailed requirements	detailed requireme		
10.			detail required §1500; §1502(e); pp. 125 - 139						
11.	Scenario Analysis	Disclosure required if used	Disclosure required if used and impacts deemed material. Narrowed with qualifiers	×	limited detail	detailed requirements	detailed requiremen		

		SEC Climate Rules		California			Europe
		Proposed Rules (2022)	Final Rules (2024)	SB 253 ²	SB 261: Using TCFD	SB 261: Using IFRS	CSRD
12.	Internal Carbon Pricing	if used; detailed disclosure of impact required on risk management	if used; no detailed disclosure of impact §1502(g); pp. 151 - 159	×	if relevant	if relevant, including decision-making impact	if relevant, including decision-making impact
13.	Board Oversight		limited detail §1501(a); pp. 160 - 172	×			
14.	Management Oversight		if material §1501(b); pp. 172 - 182	×			
15.	Climate Linked Pay	×	×	×			•
16.	Risk Management		if material §1503; pp. 184 - 198	×			
17.	Targets and Goals		if material §1504; pp. 198 - 222	×			
18.	GHG Emissions – Scope 1		if material ¹⁷ §1505; pp. 222 - 261				
19.	GHG Emissions – Scope 2		if material ¹⁸ §1505; pp. 222 - 261				
20.	GHG Emissions – Scope 3	if material	§1505; pp. 222 - 261		if appropriate		
21.	Attestation	Scope 1 and 2 Limited assurance – LAF/AF – FY2/3 Reasonable assurance – LAF/AF – FY 4	Scope 1 and 2, if disclosed Limited assurance – LAF/AF – FY 3 (FY 2029) Reasonable assurance – LAF – FY 7 (FY 2033) §1506; pp. 262 – 356	Scope 1 and 2 - 2026 (limited assurance) Scope 1 and 2 - 2030 (reasonable assurance) Scope 3 - 2030 (limited assurance, subject to CARB review)	×	(not for California)	limited assurance; reasonable assurance may follow
22.	Financial Impact Metrics	Disclosure of financial impact of severe weather events (etc.), subject to 1% threshold (Financial Impact Metrics)	A registrant must disclose how severe weather (etc.) impacts its estimates/assumptions underpinning its financial statements (if it does), but this is different from the more technical Financial Impact Metrics in the proposed rules. Art. 14; pp. 402 – 448	×	very limited	limited	
23.	Expenditure Metrics	Disclosure of expenditures incurred to mitigate risk of weather events (etc.), subject to 1% threshold (Expenditure Metrics)	Expenditure Metrics disclosure required, but substantially narrowed from proposed rules Art. 14; pp. 402 – 448	×	very limited	limited	•



Footnotes

- 1. The final rules for The Enhancement and Standardization of Climate-Related Disclosures for Investors, see: https://www.sec.gov/files/rules/final/2024/33-11275.pdf
- 2. Climate Corporate Data Accountability Act (Senate Bill 253).
- 3. Climate-Related Financial Risk Act (Senate Bill 261).
- 4. See: https://www.sec.gov/files/rules/final/2024/33-11275.pdf
- 5. Indicatively, the following thresholds apply: Large Accelerated Filers = market value >\$700m; Accelerated Filers = market value \$75m <\$700m. Other reporting entities, which have materially lower disclosure obligations, are Emerging Growth Companies EGCs (gross revenues <\$1.235bn) and Smaller Reporting Companies (SRCs) ((1) public float <\$250m, or (2) annual revenues <\$100m and either (i) no public float, or (ii) a public float of <\$700m.
- 6. Obligation under SB 261 is to disclose the organization's climate-related financial risk, in accordance with the TCFD framework.
- 7. Obligation under SB 261 is to disclose the organization's climate-related financial risk, which may be discharged pursuant to "an equivalent reporting requirement", which includes the IFRS standards. Note: although the IFRS standards expand beyond climate, the focus in this table is on climate.
- 8. Information regarding certain material expenditures.
- 9. Estimated average annual compliance costs over the first six years of compliance; figures calculated by reference to the Commission's estimate in its originally proposed rules (2022, pp. 373).
- 10. Estimated average annual compliance cost over the first 10 years of compliance (pp. 741).
- 11. Petition reportedly filed at the Atlanta-based 11th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals.
- 12. See: https://www.uschamber.com/assets/documents/FILED-Chamber-v.-CARB-Complaint.pdf.
- 13. The final rules shift away from value chain disclosures. Value chain was referenced 11 times in the proposed rules, but were omitted entirely from the final rules.
- 14. Registrants can divide up "long-term" time horizon (i.e. >12 months) into discrete chunks, e.g. distinguish between what the registrant perceives to be medium and longer term risks.
- 15. The final rule does not reference "single" materiality. But the Commission does refer to its own precedent, and that of the Supreme Court, to define materiality, which requires a (single) financial impact assessment: A matter is material if there is a substantial likelihood that a reasonable investor would consider it important when determining whether to buy or sell securities or how to vote or such a reasonable investor would view omission of the disclosure as having significantly altered the total mix of information made available. Contrast this to, for example, European rules, which require covered entities to consider both financial materiality and impact materiality (i.e. double materiality).
- 16. Double materiality has two dimensions: financial materiality and impact materiality (ESRS 1 (General Requirements), Paragraph 37)). Financial materiality occurs when there is a material impact on an organization's finances (ESRS 1, para. 49). Impact materiality occurs when the organization has material actual or potential positive or negative impacts on people or the environment (ESRS 1, para. 43).
- 17. The materiality of Scope 1 emissions is not determined merely by the amount of these emissions. Rather, the guiding principle is the traditional securities law principle (see note above). SRCs and EGCs are exempted from the obligation to disclose emissions.
- 18. The materiality of Scope 2 emissions is not determined merely by the amount of these emissions. Rather, the guiding principle is the traditional securities law principle (see note above). SRCs and EGCs are exempted from the obligation to disclose emissions.
- 19. There is still one reference to Scope 3 in the final rules (§230.436(i)(1). Presumably this is a typo.



